

## BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW MINUTES

The Board of Architectural Review held its regularly scheduled meeting on February 15, 2007 at 15 N. Cameron Street, at 4:30 p.m. in Council Chambers, Rouss City Hall.

**PRESENT:** Bell, Saunders, Belkin, Farris, McCabe, Shore

**ABSENT:** Lore

**VISITORS:** Richie Pifer, Richie Pifer, Jr.,

### MINUTES

Mr. Belkin, seconded by Mr. McCabe moved to approve the minutes of the February 1, 2007 meeting with the amended changes. The motion passed on a vote of 6-0-0.

### CONSENT AGENDA

None

### OLD BUSINESS

**BAR-07-03** – Request of Richie Pifer, Jr. for approval of a rear porch enclosure located at 316 South Kent Street.

Mr. Richie Pifer, Jr. was present at the meeting to answer questions. Mr. Pifer stated that the requested drawings of the elevations have been provided. Mr. Pifer reviewed his request with the board.

Mr. Bell questioned the rear porch having batten. Mr. Pifer stated that in the last meeting a comment was made in regard to the rear porch being enclosed and not looking like a porch anymore. Mr. Pifer stated that someone had made the suggestion to include batten so that one could distinguish that it was once a porch. Mr. Bell stated that in his opinion the batten was not needed because the corner board would differentiate the front of the house with the enclosure.

Mr. McCabe, seconded by Mr. Farris moved to approve **BAR-07-03** as presented. The motion passed on a vote of 6-0-0.

**BAR-06-79** Request of KSR, LLC c/o Richie Pifer, Jr., for approval to construct a porch enclosure and fence at 310 South Kent Street.

Mr. Richie Pifer, Jr. was present at the meeting to answer questions. Mr. Pifer stated that the siding would be a lapse siding on all elevations, and they would prefer a James Hardie smooth finish lap siding. Mr. Pifer stated that the Hardie smooth finish has the same look as yellow pine, the only difference is a 15 year warranty on the finish and it is

a more durable product. Mr. Pifer presented a demo of what yellow pine vs. the hardie lap siding, and gave the Board an idea of what the hardie board would look like. Mr. Pifer Sr. stated that the reason for the James Hardie siding is because when they stripped off the existing composite lap siding and nailed it back up flat for sheathing. Mr. Pifer Sr. also stated that there is nothing to match the current siding to, and that is why the request is for the Hardie siding on all elevations.

Mr. Bell advised the Board that in past applications Hardie siding has not been something introduced to the Historical District. Mr. Bell referred to the guidelines, stating that it is okay to use on accessory structures, new structures, additions, but not on primary elevations.

Mr. Pifer handed out potential colors for the paint color on the hardie siding.

Mr. Saunders, seconded by Mrs. Shore moved to approve **BAR-06-79** as presented by the applicant with the understanding that the Board is approving the siding because the existing siding that is currently on the building is unusable and there is no sign of any existing siding that can be preserved, and because there are no materials to match to the existing house. The Board also conditioned that the color for the siding should be chosen from Autumn Tan, Monterey Taupe, Khaki Brown. The motion passed on a vote of 6-0-0.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

*Mr. McCabe left the meeting at 5:20p.m.*

**BAR-07-06** Request of Lawton Saunders for approval of addition located at 319 N. Braddock Street.

Mr. Lawton Saunders stepped off of the Board to present BAR-07-06. Mr. Saunders stated that the only visibility is from Indian Alley.

Mr. Belkin, seconded by Mr. Farris moved to approve **BAR-07-06** as presented with the condition that the existing brick and new addition will be painted to match the house. The motion passed on a vote of 5-0-0.

## **DISCUSSION**

Mr. Diem discussed the renovations at 222 S. Kent Street with the members of the Board, and stated that there has been controversy as to whether the contractor is replacing same for same. Mr. Diem presented a short slide show of past and present pictures of the structure. The members of the Board decided that they will go by 222 S. Kent Street before their next meeting to see the extent of the work and the changes that were made.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

With no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 5:45p.m.